

Game Theory S Modica 26 January 2018

1. Consider a lottery by x with expected value Ex . Rosetta owns the lottery and is risk averse, while Joe owns money (more than Ex) and is risk neutral. Is there a trade which makes both better off? At what prices?

Solution. Rosetta can sell x to Joe at any price p between $Ex - \pi$ and Ex where π is the risk premium of Rosetta for x , equivalently between Rosetta's certainty equivalent of x (less than Ex) and Ex .

2. Consider an n -person game where an action for player i is a contribution $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$ to a public good whose marginal benefit is r ; that is, i 's payoff is given by $u_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = r \sum_{j=1}^n x_j - x_i$. Show that for $1/n < r < 1$ the group's total utility $\sum_i u_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is maximum at $x_i = 1$ for all i but the only Nash equilibrium has $x_i = 0$ for all i . This is the "tragedy of the commons".

Solution. $\sum_i u_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (rn - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ which if $rn - 1 > 0$ is increasing in $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ - whence its maximum as in the statement. On the other hand $u_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (r - 1)x_i + r \sum_{j \neq i} x_j$ which if $r < 1$ is decreasing in x_i hence has maximum at $x_i = 0$ for any x_{-i} , and this is then the only Nash equilibrium of the game.

3. Recall the game of matching pennies with spying, whose strategic form is

	<i>HH</i>	<i>HT</i>	<i>TH</i>	<i>TT</i>
<i>H</i>	1	$2\pi - 1$	$1 - 2\pi$	-1
<i>T</i>	-1	$2\pi - 1$	$1 - 2\pi$	1

where numbers are player 1's payoffs (recall that $\pi > 1/2$). We concluded in class that in any equilibrium player 1 must mix - say play H with probability p - and player 2 must play TH for sure. We did not solve for the equilibrium probabilities p . Please fill this last missing step (of course we can ignore strategy HT which is worse than TH for player 2 for any p). *Hint:* the starting point is that $-1 < 1 - 2\pi < 1$.

Solution. Strategy TH must be better than HH , so $2\pi - 1 \geq 1 - 2p$ that is $p \geq 1 - \pi$; and it must be better than TT , whence $2\pi - 1 \geq 2p - 1$ that is $p \leq \pi$. We therefore conclude that there is a continuum of equilibria, with player 2 playing TH for sure and 1 mixing with $1 - \pi \leq p \leq \pi$.

4. Consider the infinitely repeated prisoner's dilemma with stage game

	<i>C</i>	<i>D</i>
<i>C</i>	2,2	0,3
<i>D</i>	3,0	1,1

with the discounting criterion for the payoff in the repeated game. Consider the symmetric profile where each player plays the "grim trigger strategy" which consists of starting with C and reverting to D forever after a stage in which (C, C) is not played. (a) Show that this is a Nash equilibrium for discount $\delta > 1/2$. (b) Show that for the same δ 's the profile is subgame perfect. *Hint for (b):* using the one-deviation property consider deviations lasting one period only; you must examine, at any given period: histories where (C, C) has always been played in the past; and any other history.

Solution. In Osborne's textbook.

5. Consider the cooperative game among players $1, \dots, 5$ with value function

$$v(S) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1 \in S \text{ and } \#S \geq 2 \text{ or if } \#S \geq 4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

that is $v = 1$ if player 1 associates with at least one other player, or if all of the other players are in. (a) Show that the Core of the game is empty; (b) Show that the Shapley imputation is $(0.6, 0.1, 0.1, 0.1, 0.1)$.

Solution. (a) $x_1 + x_i \geq 1$ for all $i > 1$ implies $x_j = 0$ for all $j > 1$; but $\sum_{j>1} x_i \geq 1$, contradiction. (b) if 1 does not arrive first or last - probability $3/5$ her marginal contribution is 1, otherwise it is zero. So player 1 gets $3/5$; each of the others has the same marginal contribution to any preceding coalition so they get the same payoff, which is then $(1/4)(2/5) = 1/10$.

6. The most basic sharing context is the cooperative game with two players $i = 1, 2$ where $v(12) > v(1) + v(2)$. (a) Specify the imputation (φ_1, φ_2) which corresponds to the Shapley imputation and to the Nucleolus. (b) Show that it corresponds to the Shapley imputation; (c) Show that it is also the Nucleolus of the game

Solution. (a) The rule is given by

$$\varphi_i = v(i) + \frac{1}{2}[v(12) - (v(1) + v(2))]$$

(b),(c) done in class.